Feshbach Resonances in Exciton-Charge-Carrier Scattering in Semiconductor Bilayers

Marcel Wagner^(b),^{1,2} Rafał Ołdziejewski^(b),³ Félix Rose^(b),^{1,2,4} Verena Köder^(b),¹ Clemens Kuhlenkamp^(b),^{5,6}

Ataç İmamoğlu^{,5} and Richard Schmidt^{1,2}

¹Institute for Theoretical Physics, Heidelberg University, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

²STRUCTURES, Heidelberg University, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany

³Max-Planck-Institute of Quantum Optics, D-85748 Garching, Germany

⁴Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Modélisation, CY Cergy Paris Université, CNRS, F-95302 Cergy-Pontoise, France

⁵Institute for Quantum Electronics, ETH Zürich, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland

⁶Department of Physics, Technical University of Munich, D-85748 Garching, Germany

(Received 12 October 2023; revised 18 September 2024; accepted 3 December 2024; published 21 February 2025)

Feshbach resonances play a vital role in the success of cold atoms investigating strongly correlated physics. The recent observation of their solid-state analog in the scattering of holes and intralayer excitons in transition metal dichalcogenides [I. Schwartz *et al.*, Science **374**, 336 (2021)] holds compelling promise for bringing fully controllable interactions to the field of semiconductors. Here, we demonstrate how tunneling-induced layer hybridization can lead to the emergence of two distinct classes of Feshbach resonances in atomically thin semiconductors. Based on microscopic scattering theory we show that these two types of Feshbach resonances allow us to tune interactions between electrons and both short-lived intralayer, as well as long-lived interlayer excitons. We predict the exciton-electron scattering phase shift from first principles and show that the exciton-electron coupling is fully tunable from strong to vanishing interactions. The tunability of interactions opens the avenue to explore Bose-Fermi mixtures in solid-state systems in regimes that were previously only accessible in cold atom experiments.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.134.076903

The past years have seen the advent of few-layer transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) as a novel platform to study strongly correlated quantum matter [1,2], marked by the observation of Mott phases [3,4], insulating density waves [5–7], excitonic insulators [8], Wigner crystals [3,9,10], the quantum anomalous Hall effect [11], and fractional Chern insulators [12]. In TMDs, Bose-Fermi mixtures of excitons and electrons reach for the first time into the previously inaccessible strong-coupling regime [1,13–16]. While such mixtures have allowed for the optical detection and engineering of novel many-body phases [9], the control over exciton-electron interactions has so far been limited to doping [17] or coupling to photonic modes in optical cavities [18–22] and wave guides [23].

Establishing fully tunable interactions of electrons with both short- and long-lived excitons would open up the possibility to explore even richer many-body phases such as exciton-induced superconductivity [24] or charge-density wave states [14,25], and would further enrich TMDs as a fully tunable quantum simulation platform on par with ultracold atoms.

In this Letter, we show how full control over interactions can be realized in TMDs. Using a quantum-chemistry inspired microscopic approach we demonstrate that the presence of trions both in open and closed scattering channels allows for the full tunability of interactions from the strong coupling regime all the way to vanishing interactions both for intra- and interlayer excitons. The trions in the respective closed channel take the role analogous to closed-channel molecules in cold atoms where they build the foundation of tunable interactions between atomic particles [26]. In contrast to cold atoms, however, a clear scale separation between the energy of the closed-channel bound states and the relevant scattering energies is absent. This renders the three-body nature of the underlying scattering processes a crucial ingredient which cannot be captured by effective theories based on structureless particles [27].

Here we address this challenge by starting from a microscopic model that fully resolves the internal structure of the three-particle complexes underlying Feshbachenhanced exciton-electron scattering. Our solution of the quantum three-body problem is based on exact diagonalization and reveals the existence of two types of resonances. The first type of resonance has the characteristics of broad Feshbach resonances in ultracold atoms. This resonance allows to tune the interactions between electrons and short-lived intralayer excitons of large oscillator strength, making them ideal for applications in spectroscopy and correlation sensing.

The second type of resonance couples long-lived interlayer excitons and electrons. It has the characteristics of a narrow resonance and requires fine-tuning of the external



FIG. 1. (a) Sketch of the bilayer three-body system. The hole (red) can tunnel between the layers with a coupling constant *t*, whereas electrons (blue) are confined to the top layer. The lab frame coordinates of the charges are \mathbf{R}_i , i = 1, 2, 3. The relative positions \mathbf{r}_1 and \mathbf{r}_2 span an angle θ . (b) Illustration of the band structure detuning where the layer index takes the role of a pseudospin degree of freedom $|\uparrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\rangle$. (c) Sketch of the effective exciton-electron scattering potentials, each supporting a trion state (dashed).

electric field representing the control parameter of the Feshbach resonances in TMD heterostructures. This novel type of resonance allows the realization of long-lived exciton-electron mixtures at strong-coupling, and thus enables a new approach to explore the phase-diagram of Bose-Fermi mixtures in regimes that have so far been out of reach in cold atomic systems due to their chemical instability [28].

Setup and model—We consider a bilayer system where two electrons in one layer interact with a hole that can tunnel in between the layers (cf. Fig. 1). The layers are separated by a single sheet of hexagonal boron nitride (hBN) of thickness 0.33 nm [29] resulting in a spacing of d = 1.03 nm of the central planes of two MoSe₂ layers of thickness 0.7 nm [30]. The layers define a pseudospin degree of freedom: akin to a cold atom system, the interactions between particles depend on this pseudospin, which in the TMD setting are coupled through tunneling. The system is subject to an external perpendicular electric field $\mathbf{E} = E_z \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z$. This field allows us to tune the energy difference $\Delta E = eE_z d$ between the pseudospin states, analogous to magnetic fields employed to realize Feshbach resonances in cold atoms. In an effective mass approach the corresponding Hamiltonian reads

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i} \left[\frac{\hat{p}_{i}^{2}}{2m_{i}} - \frac{Q_{i}\Delta E}{2} (\mathbb{1} - \tau_{z}^{i}) + t_{i}\tau_{x}^{i} \right] + \sum_{\substack{a,b\\i< j}} V_{ab}(\hat{R}_{ij}), \quad (1)$$

where $Q_i = \pm e$ and m_i are, respectively, the charges and the masses of the electrons (i = 1, 2) and the hole (i = 3). The in-plane distances and momenta of the particles are described by $\hat{R}_{ij} = |\hat{R}_i - \hat{R}_j|$ and \hat{p}_i , respectively. The Pauli matrices $\tau^i_{\mu=x,y,z}$ act on the layer (pseudospin) subspace of each particle, labeled by $a, b \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$, where the "pseudospin" \uparrow, \downarrow refers to the layer index in which the respective charge carrier resides.

The first term in Eq. (1) represents the kinetic energy of particles and the second the energy detuning ΔE of the two layers. The third term accounts for the tunneling of the hole, $t_3 = t$ and $t_1 = t_2 = 0$. This choice of species-dependent tunnel coupling is motivated by experiments [31] and may be seen as originating from the energy offset between the conduction bands of the hBN and TMD layers, which is drastically larger than the one of the valence bands. The resulting confinement of each electron to one layer leads to the decoupling of Hilbert space into invariant subspaces. In the following, we focus on the case where the electrons are located in the top layer.

The interaction potential $V_{ab}(r)$ between two charges separated by an in-plane distance r is obtained from solving Poisson's equation for two identical TMD layers (of vanishing thickness) separated by the distance d. The interaction potential in momentum space reads

$$V_{\text{intra}}(q) = \frac{Q_i Q_j ((1+r_0 q) e^{2dq} - r_0 q)}{2\varepsilon_0 ((1+r_0 q)^2 q e^{2dq} - r_0^2 q^3)}$$
(2)

for two charges in the same layer (a = b), and

$$V_{\text{inter}}(q) = \frac{Q_i Q_j e^{dq}}{2\varepsilon_0 ((1 + r_0 q)^2 q e^{2dq} - r_0^2 q^3)}$$
(3)

for charges in different layers ($a \neq b$; for details see the Supplemental Material [32]). The real-space potentials are obtained via Fourier transformation. The screening length is $r_0 = \alpha_{2D}/2\epsilon_0$ with the TMD layer's two-dimensional polarizability α_{2D} and the vacuum permittivity ϵ_0 . Equations (2) and (3) reduce to the Keldysh potential [34] in the ultrathin, monolayer limit $d \rightarrow 0$ [32].

Importantly, the three-body model in Eq. (1) does not *a priori* assume the formation of excitons nor does it treat them as rigid objects. Instead, excitons appear, on equal footing to the trions, as eigenstates of the Hamiltonian and can themselves be layer hybridized due to charge-carrier tunneling.

Feshbach resonances—To understand the excitonelectron scattering physics, we diagonalize the Hamiltonian (1) using a discrete variable representation (DVR) [13,35]. Exploiting translational invariance, we transform the Hamiltonian into the co-moving frame of the hole and separate the center-of-mass motion [32]. Rotational invariance implies the conservation of total angular momentum *m*. In the following we focus on m = 0. The eigenstates of the resulting Hamiltonian are wave functions $\psi(r_1, r_2, \theta)$ that, additionally to the layer degrees of freedom, are parametrized by relative particle distances $r_{1,2}$ and the angle θ between the electron coordinates, see Fig. 1. While our approach describes generally electrons and holes in any



FIG. 2. Spectrum of three-body states, spatially symmetric with respect to electron exchange, as a function of the band detuning ΔE . Bold colored lines mark trion energies for t = 0 whereas black lines show the eigenenergies (including trion and excitonelectron scattering states) in presence of hole tunneling. Shaded areas mark the different scattering states which form a continuum in the infinite-system limit. Blue color indicates intralayer, and green color interlayer configurations as shown in the pictogram. Feshbach resonances appear at the positions labeled by F₁ and F₂. Symbols I–VI mark ΔE values for which the states labeled by 0–3, 0', R₁ and R₂ are visualized in Fig. 3.

TMD heterostructure, we assume two $MoSe_2$ layers and use material parameters obtained from DFT [36]. We expect our results to be quite universal and to apply to other material combinations as well.

The exact diagonalization gives access to a rich spectrum containing both symmetric and antisymmetric states with respect to electron exchange. In Fig. 2 we show the result for the spatially symmetric states (i.e., unequal spin or valley degree of freedom) and study how the low-energy spectrum depends on the band detuning ΔE . We mark the energies of trions in the absence of tunneling with bold colored lines. Depending on whether the hole is in the top or in the bottom layer two such trion states exist. We denote these as bare intralayer (blue) or bare interlayer trion (green). The shaded areas mark the corresponding electron-exciton scattering continua. We find that the hole is always part of the excitonic component of the wave function. Since increasing ΔE decreases the energy of the hole, one is able to bring the originally more weakly bound interlayer exciton into resonance with the intralayer exciton state. The thin black lines in Fig. 2 mark the energies of the eigenstates of the system in presence of a finite hopping strength, t = 2 meV [31]. They can be expressed as a superposition of the eigenstates for t = 0. In our numerics the system size is finite. As a result the spectrum of scattering states that is continuous in the infinite system size limit (shaded) appears as a discrete set of states (lines) in the numerics.

By following the energy of bare trions ("closedchannel") one can identify points where they cross the exciton-electron scattering threshold ("open-channel").



FIG. 3. Angle- and layer-averaged probability densities of electrons $n(r_1, r_2) \propto \int d\theta |\psi(r_1, r_2, \theta)|^2$ in the lowest states at the positions marked with labels I–VI in Fig. 2. The layer hybridization of intra- (T: hole in top layer) and interlayer (B: hole in bottom layer) configurations is shown for each state.

Tunneling of the hole couples these channels. This turns the closed-channel trions into weakly bound Feshbach trions, leading to the emergence of the Feshbach resonances marked by the labels F_1 and F_2 .

In the emergence of exciton-electron Feshbach resonances, layer hybridization plays a key role. In Fig. 3 we show the layer- and θ -averaged probability density $n(r_1, r_2) \propto$ $\int d\theta |\psi(r_1, r_2, \theta)|^2$ of the lowest states for the band detunings ΔE labeled as I–VI in Fig. 2. For each state we show the degree of layer hybridization as probability of finding the hole in the top (T) or bottom (B) layer. The upper most row shows the lowest trion state. As ΔE is increased to a value around $\Delta E \approx 264$ meV the deeply bound intralayer trion (label 0 in Figs. 2 and 3) turns into an interlayer trion (label 0'). The second and following rows in Fig. 3 show excited states (labels 1–3). For $\Delta E = 233.7$ meV (I) the multinodal structure of the wave function for one of the electrons shows that these states represent intralayer exciton-electron scattering states. The lowest left subfigure in Fig. 3 shows the interlayer trion (label R_1) that is immersed in the intralayer scattering continuum. This trion is subject to layer hybridization turning it into a metastable, resonant state. It becomes increasingly unstable as the broad Feshbach resonance F_1 is approached.

When crossing the resonance F_1 at $\Delta E \approx 236.4$ meV, we observe a transition of the first excited state (second row in Fig. 3) from being the lowest intralayer scattering state into the newly emergent Feshbach trion. Only at larger values of ΔE this intralayer-dominated trion then turns into the interlayer trion as highlighted by the complete reorganization of layer hybridization in this state. At the same time, the second intralayer scattering state (showing a binodal structure) turns into the first intralayer scattering state (showing only one node) while the ground state wave function remains nearly unchanged (upper row). Analogously, higher excited states change their number of radial nodes by one when crossing the resonant state.

A further increase of ΔE beyond F_1 leads to the anticrossing of the trions at $\Delta E \approx 263.66$ meV (label IV), accompanied by a maximal layer hybridization. Because of the bound character of the states this hybridization is robust and independent of the system size of our numerical diagonalization. The intralayer trion crosses the interlayer scattering continuum at the second resonance F_2 at $\Delta E \approx$ 266.828 meV (label V). At this point it turns into a hybridized, resonant state before recovering its pure intralayer character only for larger ΔE detunings (state R_2 in Figs. 2 and 3). We note that the layer hybridization found in Fig. 3 implies a modification of the electric dipole of the excitons and trions. This might give rise to interesting, tunable many-body physics of excitons and trions at finite density.

We now turn to the detailed analysis of the Feshbach resonances by investigating the scattering properties of the lowest scattering state (open channel) when tuning ΔE across the resonances. Specifically, we determine the phase shift of the electron-exciton scattering which determines the strength of the modification of the scattering states due to interactions. To this end we fit the long-distance part of the lowest scattering state to the asymptotic scattering wave function, $R_n(r) = \alpha_m(k_n)J_m(k_nr) + \beta_m(k_n)Y_m(k_nr)$. Here J_m and Y_m are the Bessel functions of the first and second kind, respectively, and k_n the momentum of the *n*th scattering state. We obtain the 2D scattering phase shift from $\delta_m(k_n) = - \arctan(\beta_m(k_n)/\alpha_m(k_n))$ [37,38].

The low-energy s-wave scattering phase shift $\delta_E \equiv \delta_0(k)$ (with $E = \hbar^2 k^2 / \mu$) is parameterized in terms of the energy scale E_s according to $\cot(\delta) \stackrel{E \to 0}{=} 1 / \pi \ln(E/E_s)$. The scale E_s can be linked to the 2D scattering length a_{2D} , conventionally used in the context of cold atom experiments, via $a_{2D} = \sqrt{\hbar^2 / 2E_s \mu}$. It can be interpreted as the characteristic kinetic energy scale on which a many-body system experiences resonant, strong coupling physics. For instance, in fermionic systems the Fermi energy E_F would provide such a scale.

We show the scattering parameter E_s in Fig. 4. Far away from the resonance F_1 , E_s is approximately constant and takes a value that is on the order of the respective background trion energy (intralayer on the left and interlayer on the right of F_1), with deviations due to the details of the short-distance scattering physics. Approaching F_1 from the left E_s increases and diverges. Correspondingly, at low scattering energies the system becomes effectively noninteracting. Right on resonance, E_s jumps abruptly to zero and a bound state appears. In this regime E_s follows precisely the energy of the newly emerging Feshbach bound trion. Note, on the left-hand side of F_1 , we evaluate E_s with respect to the electron-intralayer exciton scattering



FIG. 4. Band detuning dependence of the exciton-electron scattering parameter E_s . The broad and narrow Feshbach resonances are labeled as F_1 and F_2 , respectively (as also shown in Fig. 2).

channel, while, on the right-hand side of F_1 , E_s is evaluated with respect to the electron-interlayer exciton scattering channel. This switch of the branch of the scattering channel leads to the "gap" in values for E_s seen in Fig. 4.

The equivalence of vanishing and diverging scattering lengths at F_1 and F_2 is a hallmark of 2D scattering physics [39]. In contrast to 3D Feshbach resonances in ultracold atoms, thus also the concept of resonance width, defined by the distance in magnetic field of the zero crossing of the scattering length to the resonance position [26], is not applicable in TMDs. Namely, the zero of a_{2D} actually coincides precisely with the 2D Feshbach resonance position. This scattering regime, that is a unique 2D feature and has remained inaccessible in cold atoms even when using confinement-induced resonances [26], can now be realized and studied in atomically thin semiconductors.

The hybridization of intra- and interlayer scattering thresholds in the vicinity of F_1 facilitates a large coupling between the open and closed scattering channels. This results in the broad Feshbach resonance at F_1 . In contrast, the resonance at F_2 is much more narrow in terms of the ΔE change required to tune the system across the resonance (if the tunnel coupling is increased, the modification would be stronger). Importantly, the character of the resonances F_1 and F_2 differs with respect to the lifetime and oscillator strength of excitons in the respective open channel. While F₁ allows to manipulate the scattering of electrons and short-lived intralayer excitons with a large oscillator strength, the scattering physics of electrons with long-lived intralayer excitons (of small oscillator strength) can be tuned via F2. This allows us to use intralayer excitons, optically injected close to F_1 , as a tunable probe for correlation sensing of electronic manybody systems, while the resonance at F_2 brings tunable interactions to many-body systems comprised of electrons and stable interlayer excitons, paving the way to controllable, long-lived 2D Bose-Fermi mixtures.

Note, in this Letter we have focused on one specific configuration that is directly relevant for ongoing experiments. Allowing for different configurations (including modified tunneling strength, charge, valley, and spin degrees of freedom) will give rise to an even richer set of Feshbach resonances to be explored.

Conclusion—In this Letter we have studied the emergence of electrically tunable 2D Feshbach resonances that allow us to tune the scattering properties of short- and long-lived excitons with electrons. In both cases the systems can be tuned to the limit of vanishing interactions. Consequently, these 2D Feshbach resonances might pave the way to probe many-body physics using valley-selective interferometric protocols, such as Ramsey or spin-echo schemes, applied to excitons [40]. Our findings may open up the prospect to realize many-body systems comprised of long-lived, dipolar interlayer excitons and itinerant electrons, where tunable interactions might enable exciton-induced superconductivity [24,41] or supersolidity in dipolar exciton condensates [14,25,42].

Acknowledgments—We thank Arthur Christianen, Christian Fey, Jesper Levinsen, Meera Parish, and Jonas von Milczewski for inspiring discussions. M. W., F. R., and R. S. acknowledge support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC 2181/1–390900948 (the Heidelberg STRUCTURES Excellence Cluster), and CRC 1225 ISOQUANT, project-ID 273811115. M. W. and R. S. also acknowledge support by the DFG Priority Programme of Giant Interactions in Rydberg Systems (SPP 1929 GiRyd). The work at ETH Zurich was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) under Grant No. 200021-204076.

- M. Sidler, P. Back, O. Cotlet, A. Srivastava, T. Fink, M. Kroner, E. Demler, and A. Imamoglu, Fermi polaronpolaritons in charge-tunable atomically thin semiconductors, Nat. Phys. 13, 255 (2017).
- [2] G. Wang, A. Chernikov, M. M. Glazov, T. F. Heinz, X. Marie, T. Amand, and B. Urbaszek, Colloquium: Excitons in atomically thin transition metal dichalcogenides, Rev. Mod. Phys. **90**, 021001 (2018).
- [3] E. C. Regan *et al.*, Mott and generalized Wigner crystal states in WSe₂/WS₂ Moiré superlattices, Nature (London) 579, 359 (2020).
- [4] Y. Shimazaki, C. Kuhlenkamp, I. Schwartz, T. Smoleński, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, M. Kroner, R. Schmidt, M. Knap, and A. Imamoğlu, Optical signatures of periodic charge distribution in a Mott-like correlated insulator state, Phys. Rev. X 11, 021027 (2021).
- [5] Y. Xu, S. Liu, D. A. Rhodes, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. Hone, V. Elser, K. F. Mak, and J. Shan, Correlated insulating states at fractional fillings of Moiré superlattices, Nature (London) 587, 214 (2020).
- [6] C. Jin *et al.*, Stripe phases in WSe₂/WS₂ Moiré superlattices, Nat. Mater. **20**, 940 (2021).
- [7] X. Huang *et al.*, Correlated insulating states at fractional fillings of the WS₂/WSe₂ Moiré lattice, Nat. Phys. **17**, 715 (2021).

- [8] I. Amelio, N. D. Drummond, E. Demler, R. Schmidt, and A. Imamoğlu, Polaron spectroscopy of a bilayer excitonic insulator, Phys. Rev. B 107, 155303 (2023).
- [9] T. Smoleński *et al.*, Signatures of Wigner crystal of electrons in a monolayer semiconductor, Nature (London) 595, 53 (2021).
- [10] Y. Zhou *et al.*, Bilayer Wigner crystals in a transition metal dichalcogenide heterostructure, Nature (London) **595**, 48 (2021).
- [11] Y.-M. Xie, C.-P. Zhang, J.-X. Hu, K. F. Mak, and K. T. Law, Valley-polarized quantum anomalous Hall state in Moiré MoTe₂/WSe₂ heterobilayers, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 026402 (2022).
- [12] J. Cai, E. Anderson, C. Wang, X. Zhang, X. Liu, W. Holtzmann, Y. Zhang, F. Fan, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe *et al.*, Signatures of fractional quantum anomalous Hall states in twisted MoTe₂, Nature (London) **622**, 63 (2023).
- [13] C. Fey, P. Schmelcher, A. Imamoğlu, and R. Schmidt, Theory of exciton-electron scattering in atomically thin semiconductors, Phys. Rev. B 101, 195417 (2020).
- [14] O. Cotleţ, S. Zeytinoğlu, M. Sigrist, E. Demler, and A. Imamoğlu, Superconductivity and other collective phenomena in a hybrid Bose-Fermi mixture formed by a polariton condensate and an electron system in two dimensions, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 054510 (2016).
- [15] D. K. Efimkin and A. H. MacDonald, Exciton-polarons in doped semiconductors in a strong magnetic field, Phys. Rev. B 97, 235432 (2018).
- [16] A. Imamoğlu, O. Cotlet, and R. Schmidt, Exciton-polarons in two-dimensional semiconductors and the Tavis-Cummings model, C.R. Phys. 22, 1 (2021).
- [17] F. Katsch and A. Knorr, Excitonic theory of dopingdependent optical response in atomically thin semiconductors, Phys. Rev. B 105, 045301 (2022).
- [18] G. Li, O. Bleu, J. Levinsen, and M. M. Parish, Theory of polariton-electron interactions in semiconductor microcavities, Phys. Rev. B 103, 195307 (2021).
- [19] G. Li, M. M. Parish, and J. Levinsen, Microscopic calculation of polariton scattering in semiconductor microcavities, Phys. Rev. B 104, 245404 (2021).
- [20] S. S. Kumar, B. C. Mulkerin, M. M. Parish, and J. Levinsen, Trion resonance in polariton-electron scattering, Phys. Rev. B 108, 125416 (2023).
- [21] F. Rana, O. Koksal, M. Jung, G. Shvets, A. N. Vamivakas, and C. Manolatou, Exciton-trion polaritons in doped two-dimensional semiconductors, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 127402 (2021).
- [22] M. A. Bastarrachea-Magnani, A. Camacho-Guardian, and G. M. Bruun, Attractive and repulsive exciton-polariton interactions mediated by an electron gas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 127405 (2021).
- [23] O. Koksal, M. Jung, C. Manolatou, A. N. Vamivakas, G. Shvets, and F. Rana, Structure and dispersion of exciton-trion-polaritons in two-dimensional materials: Experiments and theory, Phys. Rev. Res. 3, 033064 (2021).
- [24] F. P. Laussy, A. V. Kavokin, and I. A. Shelykh, Excitonpolariton mediated superconductivity, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 106402 (2010).
- [25] I. A. Shelykh, T. Taylor, and A. V. Kavokin, Rotons in a hybrid Bose-Fermi system, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 140402 (2010).

- [26] C. Chin, R. Grimm, P. Julienne, and E. Tiesinga, Feshbach resonances in ultracold gases, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 1225 (2010).
- [27] C. Kuhlenkamp, M. Knap, M. Wagner, R. Schmidt, and A. Imamoğlu, Tunable Feshbach resonances and their spectral signatures in bilayer semiconductors, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 037401 (2022).
- [28] M. Duda, X.-Y. Chen, A. Schindewolf, R. Bause, J. von Milczewski, R. Schmidt, I. Bloch, and X.-Y. Luo, Transition from a polaronic condensate to a degenerate Fermi gas of heteronuclear molecules, Nat. Phys. 19, 720 (2023).
- [29] K. Zhang, Y. Feng, F. Wang, Z. Yang, and J. Wang, Two dimensional hexagonal boron nitride (2D-hBN): Synthesis, properties and applications, J. Mater. Chem. C 5, 11992 (2017).
- [30] B. Borodin, M. Dunaevskiy, F. Benimetskiy, S. Lebedev, A. Lebedev, and P. Alekseev, Kelvin probe microscopy of MoSe₂ monolayers on graphene, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **1124**, 081031 (2018).
- [31] I. Schwartz, Y. Shimazaki, C. Kuhlenkamp, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, M. Kroner, and A. Imamoğlu, Electrically tunable Feshbach resonances in twisted bilayer semiconductors, Science **374**, 336 (2021).
- [32] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.134.076903 for details on the interaction potential, the Hamiltonian, the numerical method, and comments on the layer distance dependence, which includes Refs. [33–35].
- [33] P. Cudazzo, I. V. Tokatly, and A. Rubio, Dielectric screening in two-dimensional insulators: Implications for excitonic

and impurity states in graphane, Phys. Rev. B 84, 085406 (2011).

- [34] L. V. Keldysh, Coulomb interaction in thin semiconductor and semimetal films, JETP Lett. 29, 658 (1979), http:// jetpletters.ru/ps/1458/article_22207.pdf.
- [35] M. Beck, A. Jäckle, G. Worth, and H.-D. Meyer, The Multiconfiguration Time-Dependent Hartree (MCTDH) method: A highly efficient algorithm for propagating wavepackets, Phys. Rep. 324, 1 (2000).
- [36] I. Kylänpää and H.-P. Komsa, Binding energies of exciton complexes in transition metal dichalcogenide monolayers and effect of dielectric environment, Phys. Rev. B 92, 205418 (2015).
- [37] S. K. Adhikari, Quantum scattering in two dimensions, Am. J. Phys. 54, 362 (1986).
- [38] B. J. Verhaar, J. P. H. W. van den Eijnde, M. A. J. Voermans, and M. M. J. Schaffrath, Scattering length and effective range in two dimensions: Application to adsorbed hydrogen atoms, J. Phys. A 17, 595 (1984).
- [39] K. Kanjilal and D. Blume, Coupled-channel pseudopotential description of the Feshbach resonance in two dimensions, Phys. Rev. A 73, 060701(R) (2006).
- [40] M. Cetina *et al.*, Ultrafast many-body interferometry of impurities coupled to a Fermi sea, Science 354, 96 (2016).
- [41] F. P. Laussy, Superconductivity with excitons and polaritons: Review and extension, J. Nanophoton. 6, 064502 (2012).
- [42] E. Cherotchenko, T. Espinosa-Ortega, A. Nalitov, I. Shelykh, and A. Kavokin, Superconductivity in semiconductor structures: The excitonic mechanism, Superlattices Microstruct. 90, 170 (2016).