Hall conductivity and viscosity of a two-dimensional chiral *p* + i*p* superconductor



MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE OF QUANTUM OPTICS

Félix Rose

In collaboration with S. Moroz (TUM) and O. Golan (Weizmann)

MPQ theory group workshop October 23, 2019

Introduction: chiral superfluids?

Chiral superfluid (SF): Cooper pairing of electrons carrying finite angular momentum.

E.g. spinless 2d fermions w/ p-wave interaction: "p + ip" SF,

$$\Delta(\mathbf{p}) = -\langle \psi_{\mathbf{p}} \psi_{-\mathbf{p}} \rangle \propto p_{x} \pm i p_{y}.$$

 \rightarrow parity (P) and time-reversal (T) broken. Angular momentum / particle: s = ±1/2.

Experimental observations:

• A-phase in ³He film under nanoscale confinement. [Ikegami et al., Science '13; Levitin et al., Science '13]

• Edge modes of 2d superconductors.

[Xu et al., PRL '15; Ménard et al., Nature comm. '17]

Phase diagram of a confined ³He film (Levitin).

Introduction: chiral superfluids?

Chiral superfluid (SF): Cooper pairing of electrons carrying finite angular momentum.

E.g. spinless 2d fermions w/ p-wave interaction: "p + ip" SF,

$$\Delta(\mathbf{p}) = -\langle \psi_{\mathbf{p}} \psi_{-\mathbf{p}} \rangle \propto p_{x} \pm i p_{y}.$$

 \rightarrow parity (P) and time-reversal (T) broken. Angular momentum / particle: s = ±1/2.

Experimental observations:

- A-phase in ³He film under nanoscale confinement. [Ikegami et al., Science '13; Levitin et al., Science '13]
- Edge modes of 2*d* superconductors.

[Xu et al., PRL '15; Ménard et al., Nature comm. '17]



³He film (Levitin).

Properties of chiral superfluids

Topological properties

$$\hat{H} = \int_{\mathbf{q}} \Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}} \Psi_{\mathbf{q}}, \qquad \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\mathbf{q}} & \Delta(\mathbf{q})^{*} \\ \Delta(\mathbf{q}) & \epsilon_{\mathbf{q}} \end{pmatrix} \propto \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}.$$

Ψ[†] = (ψ[†], ψ), ε_q = q²/2m - μ, σ: Pauli matrices.
[Alicea, RPP '12]

- Phase transition between a trivial strongly paired and topological weakly paired phases.
- Chiral Majorana edge states at interface.



Focus of this presentation: transport properties. *P* and *T* broken → possibility of dissipationless "odd" transport. [Read and Green, PRB 'oo]

Properties of chiral superfluids

Topological properties

$$\hat{H} = \int_{\mathbf{q}} \Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}} \Psi_{\mathbf{q}}, \qquad \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\mathbf{q}} & \Delta(\mathbf{q})^{*} \\ \Delta(\mathbf{q}) & \epsilon_{\mathbf{q}} \end{pmatrix} \propto \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}.$$

Ψ[†] = (ψ[†], ψ), ε_q = q²/2m - μ, σ: Pauli matrices.
[Alicea, RPP '12]

- Phase transition between a trivial strongly paired and topological weakly paired phases.
- Chiral Majorana edge states at interface.

 $\mu < 0$ Trivial $\mu < 0$ Topological Trivial

Focus of this presentation: transport properties. *P* and *T* broken \rightarrow possibility of dissipationless "odd" transport. [Read and Green, PRB '00]

Odd transport coefficients (I): conductivity

(J: U(1) current, E: electric field)

U(1) charge conservation \rightarrow conductivity tensor σ^{ij}

 $J^i = \sigma^{ij} E_j$

 σ^{ij} in a isotropic 2*d* system $\sigma^{ij}(\mathbf{q}) = q^i q^j / \mathbf{q}^2 \sigma_{\mathrm{I}}(\mathbf{q}^2) + (\delta^{ij} - q^i q^j / \mathbf{q}^2) \sigma_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{q}^2) + \epsilon^{ij} \sigma^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{q}^2)$

 $\epsilon^{xy} = 1, \epsilon^{ij} = -\epsilon^{ji}$

 $\sigma_{L,T}$: usual longitudinal / transverse conductivities

σ^{H} : Hall conductivity

- Determines the odd part of conductivity $\sigma^{ij} = -\sigma^{ji}$
- Dissipationless
- Vanishes unless T symmetry is broken

Odd transport coefficients (II): defining viscosity

Displacement $\partial_i u_j$ and velocity gradients $\partial_i \dot{u}_j \rightarrow$ internal forces **F**.

Stress tensor *T^{ij}* fixes the forces.

Linear response

$$\Gamma^{ij} = -\lambda^{ijkl} (\partial_k u + \partial_l u_k)/2 - \eta^{ijkl} (\partial_k \dot{u}_l + \partial_l \dot{u}_k)/2$$

$$\begin{split} \lambda^{ijkl}: \text{ elastic modulus, } \eta^{ijkl}: \text{ viscosity} \\ \begin{cases} T^{ij} \text{ symmetric} \\ \partial_k \dot{u}_l + \partial_l \dot{u}_k \text{ symmetric} \end{cases} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \eta \end{split}$$



Internal forces **F** over a surface element **dS**

$$\rightarrow \eta^{ijkl} = \eta^{ijlk} = \eta^{jikl}$$

Noether current interpretation

Translation invariance \rightarrow conserved momentum density, currents T^{ij} λ^{ijkl} and η^{ijkl} : associated transport coefficients

Odd transport coefficients (II): defining viscosity

Displacement $\partial_i u_i$ and velocity gradients $\partial_i \dot{u}_i \rightarrow$ internal forces **F**.

Stress tensor T^{ij} fixes the forces.

Linear response

$$\Gamma^{ij} = -\lambda^{ijkl} (\partial_k u + \partial_l u_k)/2 - \eta^{ijkl} (\partial_k \dot{u}_l + \partial_l \dot{u}_k)/2$$

 λ^{ijkl} : elastic modulus, η^{ijkl} : viscosity]^{T'I} symmo ໄລ..ມ. + ລ.ມ



Internal forces **F** over a surface element dS

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{metric} \\ \rightarrow \eta^{ijkl} = \eta^{ijlk} = \eta^{jikl} \\ \partial_i \dot{u}_k \text{ symmetric} \end{array}$$

Noether current interpretation

Translation invariance \rightarrow conserved momentum density, currents T^{ij}

 λ^{ijkl} and η^{ijkl} : associated transport coefficients

Odd transport coefficients (III): odd viscosity

Symmetry under exchange of two pairs of indices (*ij*), (*kl*): decomposition $\eta = \eta_e + \eta_o$. $\eta_e^{ijkl} = \eta_e^{klij}$: even part \rightarrow bulk, shear viscosities.

 $\eta_o^{ijkl} = -\eta_o^{klij}$: odd viscosity. [Avron et al., PRL '95]



Velocity field under an external force.

- η_0 doesn't exist in 3*d*.
- One component in an isotropic system: $\eta_{o}^{ijkl} = \frac{\eta^{H}}{2} (\epsilon_{ik}\delta_{jl} + \epsilon_{jk}\delta_{il} + \epsilon_{il}\delta_{jk} + \epsilon_{jl}\delta_{ik}).$
- Dissipationless transport.
- Nonvanishing only if T broken.

 $\eta^{\rm H}$: "Hall" viscosity

Hall viscosity in litterature

Recent activity: observation proposals, different systems...

[Lucas and Surowka, PRE '14; Sherafati et al., PRB '16; Delacretaz and Gromov, PRL '17; Scaffidi et al., PRL '17, Tuegel and Hugues, PRB '17]



(measurement of electric potential near voltage gates)

[Read, PRB '09]: in paired quantum Hall states and chiral SFs,

$$\eta^{H} = \frac{1}{2}\hbar n_0 s.$$

$$n_0$$
: ground state density $s \in \mathbb{Q}$: angular momentum / part.

If only one species, U(1) charge transport ≡ momentum density transport → Ward identity [Taylor and Randeria, PRA '10; Hoyos and Son, PRL '12; Bradlyn et al., PRB '12]

$$\eta^{\mathsf{H}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \frac{m^2 \omega^2}{2} \partial_{q_x}^2 \sigma^{\mathsf{H}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{q}) \big|_{\mathbf{q}=0}$$

[Read, PRB '09]: in paired quantum Hall states and chiral SFs,

$$\eta^{\rm H} = \frac{1}{2} \hbar n_0 {\rm s}.$$

 n_0 : ground state density $s \in \mathbb{Q}$: angular momentum / part.

If only one species, U(1) charge transport ≡ momentum density transport → Ward identity [Taylor and Randeria, PRA '10; Hoyos and Son, PRL '12; Bradlyn et al., PRB '12]

$$\eta^{\mathsf{H}}(\omega) = \frac{m^2 \omega^2}{2} \partial_{q_x}^2 \sigma^{\mathsf{H}}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) \big|_{\mathbf{q}=0}$$

Our main result

η^{H} deduced from σ^{H} : viscosity-conductivity equivalence?

Above relation not completely general.

• At finite **q**, two independent components $\eta_0^{(1,2)}(\omega, \mathbf{q}^2)$ for isotropic systems

$$\eta_{o} = \eta_{o}^{(1)}\sigma^{xz} + \eta_{o}^{(2)}[(q_{x}^{2} - q_{y}^{2})\sigma^{0x} - 2q_{x}q_{y}\sigma^{0z}] \qquad [Golan et al., PRB'_{19}]$$

 $(\sigma^{ab})^{ijkl} = (\sigma^a)^{ij}(\sigma^b)^{kl} - (\sigma^b)^{ij}(\sigma^a)^{kl}$

- $\eta^{(1)} = -\eta^{H}$: identified with the "usual" Hall viscosity.
- $\eta^{(2)}$: usually subleading...
- ...unless it is singular! With long-range forces, $\eta^{(2)}(\omega \rightarrow 0, \mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0) \sim 1/\mathbf{q}^2$

Long-range interactions like 2*d* Coulomb can break down the identity Viscosity tensor contains more information than Hall conductivity!

Our main result

 η^{H} deduced from σ^{H} : viscosity-conductivity equivalence? Above relation not completely general.

• At finite **q**, two independent components $\eta_0^{(1,2)}(\omega, \mathbf{q}^2)$ for isotropic systems

$$\eta_{o} = \eta_{o}^{(1)}\sigma^{xz} + \eta_{o}^{(2)}[(q_{x}^{2} - q_{y}^{2})\sigma^{0x} - 2q_{x}q_{y}\sigma^{0z}]$$
 [Golan et al., PRB '19]

 $(\sigma^{ab})^{ijkl}=(\sigma^a)^{ij}(\sigma^b)^{kl}-(\sigma^b)^{ij}(\sigma^a)^{kl}$

- $\eta^{(1)} = -\eta^{H}$: identified with the "usual" Hall viscosity.
- $\eta^{(2)}$: usually subleading...
- ...unless it is singular! With long-range forces, $\eta^{(2)}(\omega \rightarrow 0, \mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0) \sim 1/\mathbf{q}^2$

Long-range interactions like 2*d* Coulomb can break down the identity Viscosity tensor contains more information than Hall conductivity! From here on:

- Field theory setup for the calculation.
- Conductivity and viscosity via diagrams.
- Effect of Coulomb.

Field theory definition of the transport coefficients

• U(1) current
$$\rightarrow$$
 electromagnetic field: $J^{i} \sim \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_{\mu}}$.
• Momentum current \rightarrow non-flat metric: $T^{ij} \sim \frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{ij}}$.
($g_{ii} \sim$ displacement gradient)

Linear response theory

$$\delta\langle J^{i}\rangle \sim \sigma^{ij} \stackrel{\text{electrical field}}{\left[\partial_{t}A_{j} - \partial_{j}A_{t}\right]} \longrightarrow \sigma^{ij} \sim \omega\langle [J^{i}, J^{j}]\rangle$$

$$\delta\langle T^{ij}(x)\rangle \sim -\frac{1}{2} \eta^{ijkl} \frac{\partial_{t}g_{kl}}{\partial_{t}g_{kl}} \longrightarrow \eta^{ijlk} \sim \omega\langle [T^{ij}, T^{kl}]\rangle$$

$$\approx \text{velocity gradient}$$

Starting point:

Induced action

Induced action
$$e^{i\mathcal{W}[A,g]} = \int \mathcal{D}[\underbrace{\cdots}_{\text{fields}}] e^{iS[\cdots;A,g]}$$

W[*A*, *g*] obtained by integrating out the fluctuating fields.

- *W*: generating functional of connected correlation functions (analog to free energy in stat. mech.!)
- Transport coefficients

 $(\omega^+ = \omega + i0^+)$

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{W}}{\delta A_i \delta A_j}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \mathrm{i}\omega^+ \sigma^{ij}(\omega, \mathbf{q}), \\ & \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{W}}{\delta g_{ii} \delta g_{kl}}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega^+}{4} \eta^{ijkl}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) - \frac{1}{4} \lambda^{ijkl}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{4} \langle T^{ij} \rangle \delta^{kl} \end{split}$$

Ward identity

Only one species \rightarrow transport of momentum \simeq transport of U(1) charge.

Formally: ${\mathcal W}$ inherits microscopic symmetries of the original action

- U(1) gauge transforms of parameter $\alpha(t, \mathbf{x})$
- change of coordinates $x^i \rightarrow x^i + \xi^i(t, \mathbf{x})$

$$\begin{split} &\delta A_t = -\partial_t \alpha - \xi^k \partial_k A_t - A_k \partial_\tau \xi^k, \\ &\delta A_i = -\partial_i \alpha - \xi^k \partial_k A_i - A_k \partial_i \xi^k + \mathbf{m} g_{ik} \partial_t \xi^k, \\ &\delta g_{ij} = -\xi^k \partial_k g_{ij} - g_{ik} \partial_j \xi^k - g_{kj} \partial_i \xi^k. \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{W}[\mathsf{A} + \delta \mathsf{A}, g + \delta g] = \mathcal{W}[\mathsf{A}, g]$

mg_{ik}∂_tξ^k: preserve invariance for *time-dependent* transforms [Son and Wingate, Ann Phys '06]

Functional derivatives \rightarrow identities between *n*-point correlation functions

$$m^2(\omega^*)^2\sigma_{\mathsf{H}}(\omega,\mathbf{q}^2) = -\mathbf{q}^2[\eta_{\mathrm{o}}^{(1)}(\omega,\mathbf{q}^2) - \mathbf{q}^2\eta_{\mathrm{o}}^{(2)}(\omega,\mathbf{q}^2)]$$

[Geracie et al., PRD '15]

$$\eta_{\rm o} = \eta_{\rm o}^{(1)} \sigma^{xz} + \eta_{\rm o}^{(2)} [(q_x^2 - q_y^2) \sigma^{0x} - 2q_x q_y \sigma^{0z}]$$

Microscopic versus effective action

What starting point for the actual calculation?



- Microscopic theory Start from fermionic action, contains "everything"
- Effective theory (Son, Hoyos, Moroz)
 Low-energy physics from symmetry breaking Simpler, but can be difficult to change setup

Both approaches are complementary; we present here the microscopic calculation.

BCS action with no sources (I)

Starting point

$$S[\psi^*, \psi] = \int_x \underbrace{\psi^* \left[\partial_\tau + \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} - \mu\right] \psi}_{\text{free fermions}} - \underbrace{\lambda(\psi^* \mathbf{p}\psi^*) \cdot (\psi \mathbf{p}\psi)}_{p \text{-wave interaction Sint}}$$

Interaction decoupled via a pairing field:

$$\overline{\Delta} = (\overline{\Delta}_x, \overline{\Delta}_y), \qquad \overline{\Delta} \sim \psi \mathbf{p} \psi$$

$$S^{\text{int}}[\psi^*,\psi] \to S^{\text{int}}[\psi^*,\psi,\overline{\mathbf{\Delta}}^*,\overline{\mathbf{\Delta}}] = \int_x -\frac{1}{2} \langle \overline{\mathbf{\Delta}}^i \rangle^* \cdot \langle \psi_x \mathbf{p} \psi_x \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{\Delta}} \cdot \langle \psi_x^* \mathbf{p} \psi_x^* \rangle + \frac{1}{4\lambda} |\overline{\mathbf{\Delta}}|^2$$

BCS action with no sources (II)

Analog to s-wave superconductivity:

Grand potential $\Xi[\overline{\Delta}^{*}, \overline{\Delta}] \equiv \int \mathcal{D}[\psi^{*}, \psi] \exp(-S[\psi^{*}, \psi, \overline{\Delta}^{*}, \overline{\Delta}])$ Mean-field: $\frac{\Xi[\overline{\Delta}^{*}, \overline{\Delta}]}{\delta \overline{\Delta}^{(*)}} = 0.$ \Downarrow

Saddle-point configuration: $\overline{\mathbf{\Delta}} \cdot \mathbf{p} = \Delta_0 e^{i\theta} (p_x \pm i p_y)$

- $\Delta_0 = 0 \rightarrow \text{normal phase}, \Delta_0 \neq 0 \rightarrow \text{SF}$
- U(1) and SO(2) broken, but invariance under diagonal U(1) combination

Coupling to sources

U(1) gauge field
$$A_{\mu}$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\tau} \rightarrow \partial_{\tau} - iA_{0}, \\ \mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{A}. \end{cases}$$

metric
$$g_{ij}$$

volume element \sqrt{g}
 $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} \rightarrow g_{ij} a^i b^j$
 $\partial_i \rightarrow \nabla_i$

Gaussian theory: discard fluctuations of the pairing field...

...while preserving the symmetries!

Starting point in imaginary time

$$\int_x \sqrt{g} \left\{ \psi^* \left[\partial_\tau - \mathrm{i} e \mathcal{A}_0 + \frac{g^{ij}(p_i - e \mathcal{A}_i)(p_j - e \mathcal{A}_j)}{2m} - \mu \right] \psi - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_a^* e^{ia}(\psi p_i \psi) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_a e^{ia}(\psi^* p_i \psi^*) \right\}$$

$$S[\Psi, \Psi^{\dagger}; \mathcal{A}, h] = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{x, x'} \Psi^{\dagger} (\mathcal{G}_{0}^{-1} - \Gamma) \Psi \qquad \Psi = (\psi^{*}, \psi) \text{ Nambu spinor}$$

• $\mathcal{G}_{0}(q) = \begin{pmatrix} G(q) & F(q) \\ F(q)^{*} & -G(-q) \end{pmatrix}$ bare propagator in absence of sources.

• Γ : contribution from the sources \rightarrow vertex.

Loop expansion: $S[\mathcal{A}, g] = -\operatorname{Tr} \log(-\mathcal{G}_0^{-1} + \Gamma) = -\operatorname{Tr} \log \mathcal{G}_0 + \operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{G}_0 \Gamma + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{G}_0 \Gamma \mathcal{G}_0 \Gamma + \dots$

Conductivity

$$S[\mathcal{A} = A - \nabla \theta] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q} \mathcal{A}_{-q,\mu} Q^{\mu\nu}(q) \mathcal{A}_{q,\nu}.$$

$$Polarization bubble$$

$$Q^{\mu\nu} \sim \operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{G}\Gamma \mathcal{G}\Gamma \sim \overset{\kappa^{\mu}}{} \overset{\mu}{} \overset{\kappa^{\nu}}{} \overset{\kappa^{\nu}}{} \Gamma \sim \kappa^{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\mu}$$

$$\int \mathcal{D}[\theta] \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathcal{W}[A] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q} A_{-q,\mu} \left(Q^{\mu\nu}(q) - \frac{Q^{\mu\rho}(q)q_{\rho}q_{\sigma}Q^{\sigma\nu}(q)}{q_{\alpha}q_{\beta}Q^{\alpha\beta}(q)} \right) A_{q,\nu}.}_{\kappa^{\mu\nu}(q)} \quad [Lutchyn et al., PRB' 08]$$

$$K^{\mu\nu}(q) \sim \overset{\kappa^{\mu}}{} \overset{\kappa^{\sigma}q_{\sigma}}{} q_{\rho} \kappa^{\rho} \overset{\kappa^{\nu}}{} \overset{\kappa^{\nu}}{} \underbrace{f\text{-sum rule}}_{q_{\mu} \kappa^{\mu\nu} = 0 \rightarrow \text{gauge invariance}}$$

Conductivity – result

Small q

$$\sigma_{\rm H}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \hbar \frac{sn_0}{2m^2} \frac{-\mathbf{q}^2}{\omega^2 - c_{\rm s}^2 \mathbf{q}^2}$$

 $s = \pm 1/2$, n_0 density, c_s sound velocity

- In agreement with effective field theory. [Hoyos et al., PRB '13]
- phase mode crucial for $\sigma_{\rm H} \neq 0$.
- Hall conductivity appears through odd part of density-current correlator Qⁱ⁰(q).



Viscosity

Similar setting, $\mathcal{G}^{-1} = \mathcal{G}_0^{-1} - \Gamma$, Γ : gravity-fermion vertex.

- Phase mode plays no role.
- Only contribution: bubble.



Result:



- Basic Ward identity satisfied.
- Finite **q**: Ward needs $\eta_0^{(2)}$.
- $\eta_{o}^{(2)} \rightarrow \text{ EFT}$ (for the moment).

Adding Coulomb interaction (I)

Coulomb interaction ~ $e^2 \int_{x,x'} (\psi^* \psi)_x V_{x-x'}(\psi^* \psi)_{x'}$ decoupled via field χ .

Coulomb action $\frac{1}{2} \int \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla \chi - ie \int \chi \psi^* \psi$

Coupling to fermions: $\begin{cases} A_0 \rightarrow \tilde{A}_0 = A_0 + \chi \\ A_t \rightarrow \tilde{A}_i = A_i \end{cases}$

$$\begin{split} S[\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \tilde{A} - \nabla \theta] &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-q,\mu} Q^{\mu\nu}(q) \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{q,\nu} \\ & \Downarrow \int \mathcal{D}[\chi] \\ S[\mathcal{A} = A - \nabla \theta] &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q} \mathcal{A}_{-q,\mu} \tilde{Q}^{\mu\nu}(q) \mathcal{A}_{q,\nu} \end{split}$$

$$\tilde{Q}^{\mu\nu} = Q^{\mu\nu} + \frac{V_{\mathbf{q}}}{1 - V_{\mathbf{q}}Q^{00}}Q^{\mu0}Q^{0\nu}$$

RPA resummation of Coulomb



Adding Coulomb interaction (II)

Conductivity: adding Coulomb opens up a plasmon gap $\omega_p = (n_0 e^2/m)^{1/2}$.

Small
$$q$$

 $\sigma_{\rm H}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \hbar \frac{sn_0}{2m^2} \frac{-\mathbf{q}^2}{\omega^2 + \omega_{\rm p}^2 - c_{\rm s}^2 \mathbf{q}^2}$
 $\partial_{q_x}^2 \sigma_{\rm H} \sim \begin{cases} 1/(\omega^+)^2, & \text{no Coulomb} \\ \text{const.} & \text{Coulomb} \end{cases}$

Viscosity: no coupling to Coulomb through the metric-fermion vertex.

$$\eta^{\mathrm{H}}$$
 unchanged $\rightarrow \frac{m^{2}\omega^{2}}{2}\partial_{q_{x}}^{2}\sigma^{\mathrm{H}}\big|_{\mathbf{q}=0} = 0 \neq \eta^{\mathrm{H}}.$



Subleading at RPA level.

Only way to reconciliate Ward identity: divergence of $\eta_0^{(2)}$. EFT calculation shows that $\eta_0^{(2)} = \eta^H \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{c_s^2 \mathbf{q}^2 - \omega_p^2}{c_s^2 \mathbf{q}^2 - \omega_p^2}$

Hall viscosity of a 2d chiral p + ip superconductor

Adding Coulomb interaction (II)

Conductivity: adding Coulomb opens up a plasmon gap $\omega_p = (n_0 e^2/m)^{1/2}$.

Viscosity: no coupling to Coulomb through the metric-fermion vertex.

$$\eta^{\mathsf{H}}$$
 unchanged $\rightarrow \frac{m^2 \omega^2}{2} \partial_{q_x}^2 \sigma^{\mathsf{H}} \Big|_{\mathbf{q}=\mathbf{0}} = \mathbf{0} \neq \eta^{\mathsf{H}}.$



Subleading at RPA level.

Only way to reconciliate Ward identity: divergence of $\eta_o^{(2)}$. EFT calculation shows that $\eta_o^{(2)} = \eta^H \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^2} \frac{c_s^2 \mathbf{q}^2 - \omega_p^2}{c_s^2 \mathbf{q}^2 - \omega_p^2 - \omega^2}$

Hall viscosity of a 2d chiral p + ip superconductor

Summary and overlook

2d Coulomb $\rightarrow \eta_o^{(2)} \sim 1/\mathbf{q}^2$ divergent. Ward identity broken; Hall conductivity—viscosity inequivalence

- Shown with microscopic and effective action.
- Article in preparation!
- Remaining questions: 3d Coulomb, static limit, retardation effect.
- 2d Coulomb realized in Josephson junction arrays. [Mooij et al., PRL '90]
- Application: theories for FQHE v = 1/2 state? [Son, PRX '15]

Thanks for your attention!



Omri Golan, Weizmann



Sergej Moroz, TUM

Félix Rose (Group Schmidt)

Hall viscosity of a 2d chiral p + ip superconductor

Collaborators